

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/787,875 | 07/19/2001 | Jens Ehlers | 1998/G-021 | 8024 |
| 23416 75 | 590 03/22/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP | | | RABAGO, ROBERTO | |
| P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1713 | |
| • | | | DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · and | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/787,875 | EHLERS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Roberto Rábago | 1713 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133) | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe | <u>bruary 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 5 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | xaminer | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the di | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p | oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)- | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | u in this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | | 1 | | | | |
| | and columns sopies her recolled | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | |
| Potent and Trade and Office | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/13/2004 has been entered.
- 2. Rejection over Lassalle '424 is withdrawn in view of amendment requiring average particle size, in combination with applicants' analysis of the average particle size of the reference polymers. The remarks of item 13 of the Office action mailed 8/22/2003 are withdrawn in view of newly-located prior art as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spencer et al. (US 5,633,419).

The reference discloses in Comparative Examples 1-6 and 15 a slurry polymerization method at 85°C and 1205 kPa wherein the resulting polymers include the claimed bulk density and particle size (see Table 7). Although the reference has not measured MFR₁₅ values, the measured I₁₀ values indicate that the cited polymers would necessarily include the claimed MFR₁₅ values. Although the reference has not

Application/Control Number: 09/787,875

Art Unit: 1713

measured the M_w/M_n values, the ordinarily skilled worker would conclude that the cited polymers inherently have the claimed M_w/M_n values because applicants' claimed scope includes virtually the entire range of conventional values. The reference method includes the contact of a Ti(IV) compound with an organoaluminum compound in a solvent, and the record includes no evidence or basis to believe that the additional contact conditions specified in the product-by-process portion of the claims (directed to the making of the catalyst) would exclude the reference polymers from the broad scope of the claims. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that the applied reference examples do not contain all claimed limitations. *In re Fitzgerald*, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

4. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marchand et al. (US 4,910,272).

The reference discloses in Examples 1(B) a slurry polymerization method at 85°C and 50 psig wherein the resulting polymers include the claimed bulk density and particle size. Although the reference has not measured MFR₁₅ values, the measured I₁₀ values indicate that the cited polymers would necessarily include the claimed MFR₁₅ values. Although the reference has not measured the M_w/M_n values, the ordinarily skilled worker would conclude that the cited polymers inherently have the claimed M_w/M_n values because applicants' claimed scope includes virtually the entire range of conventional values. The reference method includes the contact of a Ti(IV) compound with an organoaluminum compound in a solvent, and the record includes no evidence or

Application/Control Number: 09/787,875

Art Unit: 1713

basis to believe that the additional contact conditions specified in the product-by-process portion of the claims (directed to the making of the catalyst) would exclude the reference polymers from the broad scope of the claims. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that the applied reference examples do not contain all claimed limitations. *In re Fitzgerald*, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

5. Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heinrich et al. (US 5,292,837)

The reference discloses in Comparative Examples C a slurry polymerization method at 85°C and 7 bar wherein the resulting polymers include the claimed bulk density and particle size (see Table 7). Although the reference has not measured MFR₁₅ values, the measured MFR₂₁ values indicate that the cited polymers would necessarily include the claimed MFR₁₅ values. Although the reference has not measured the M_w/M_n values, the ordinarily skilled worker would conclude that the cited polymers inherently have the claimed M_w/M_n values because applicants' claimed scope includes virtually the entire range of conventional values. The reference method includes the contact of a Ti(IV) compound with an organoaluminum compound in a solvent, and the record includes no evidence or basis to believe that the additional contact conditions specified in the product-by-process portion of the claims (directed to the making of the catalyst) would exclude the reference polymers from the broad scope of the claims. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that the applied

Application/Control Number: 09/787,875

Art Unit: 1713

reference examples do not contain all claimed limitations. In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67,

Page 5

205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 am - 4:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERTO RABAGO ATENT EXAMINER

RR

March 15, 2004